

*On the Near Ultraviolet Absorption Bands
of Disulfides*

By Akira KUBOYAMA

(Received May 15, 1957)

The alkyl disulfides whose two sulfur atoms adjoin each other, such as diethyl disulfide¹⁾ ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{—S—S—C}_2\text{H}_5$) and cystine¹⁾ show the longest wave length absorption maximum around $250\text{ m}\mu$ ($\log \epsilon \sim 2.5$) in alcohol or water. But trimethylene disul-

fide²⁾ (TMD) $\left(\text{H}_2\text{C} \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2 \\ \diagup \text{C} \diagdown \\ \text{S—S} \end{array} \text{CH}_2 \right)$ and its

derivative, 6,8-thioctic acid²⁾ (α -lipoic acid) have an absorption maximum about $330\text{ m}\mu$ ($\log \epsilon \sim 2.2$) in 95% ethanol. This red-shift observed with cyclic disulfides is remarkable as compared with open chain disulfides (OCD) and will be treated in this communication. R. S. Mulliken³⁾ regarded the bands under consideration as due to

1) H. Ley and B. Arend, *Z. Phys. Chem., B* **17**, 177 (1932).

2) R. B. Whitney and M. Calvin, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **23**, 1750 (1955).

3) R. S. Mulliken, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **3**, 506 (1953).

the electronic transitions, $3p_s(\text{nonbonding}) \rightarrow 4s_s^{*1)}$. Here, probably $3p$ non-bonding orbitals are somewhat admixed with $3s_s$, but, now, as the first approximation this hybridisation is ignored. Briefly expressed by the molecular orbital method, $3p_s$ and $4s_s$ orbitals of disulfides split into $(3p_{s_1} \pm 3p_{s_2})$ and $(4s_{s_1} \pm 4s_{s_2})$, respectively, by the exchange interaction, and so the transition corresponding to the longest wavelength absorption is $(3p_{s_1} - 3p_{s_2}) \rightarrow (4s_{s_1} + 4s_{s_2})$. According to this Mulliken's assignment, the above problem can be reasonably explained as follows. The S-S bond distances for TMD and OCD are assumed to be nearly equal. The energy of the upper molecular orbital $(4s_{s_1} + 4s_{s_2})$ may be considered nearly equal to each other for TMD and OCD, since the overlapping between $4s_{s_1}$ and $4s_{s_2}$ is almost invariant with the rotation around the S-S axis. So, this red-shift which corresponds to the transition energy diminution (ΔE_1), 1.20 eV., may be explained on the basis of the lower orbital $(3p_{s_1} - 3p_{s_2})$ energy. It may be thought that the mutual twist angle (θ) of the two C-S bonds around the S-S bond is nearly 90° for OCD, as for N,N-diglycyl-L-cystine dihydrate⁴⁾. The situation is the same for dichlor disulfide⁵⁾, and hydrogen peroxide⁶⁾. This is mainly due to the repulsive interaction between $3p_{s_1}$ and $3p_{s_2}$. On the other hand, the two C-S bonds of TMD are forced to take nearly cis-direction to each other around the S-S bond. By a molecular model, the maximum value of θ for TMD is ca. 40° . If θ for OCD and TMD are assumed to be 90° and 40° , respectively, the calculated overlap integral values (S) of $3p_{s_1}$ and $3p_{s_2}$ are zero and $(0.136 \times \cos 40^\circ) = 0.103$. This means that in TMD the splitting between two orbital $(3p_{s_1} \pm 3p_{s_2})$ levels by the exchange interaction is much greater than that in OCD. Thus, the transition energy diminution can be qualitatively explained.

The fact that the OCD's 250 $m\mu$ bands are long-drawn-out absorptions is thought

to be due to the change of θ -value caused by the thermal twisting vibration of the two C-S bonds around the S-S bond. In this connection, further comment will be made on the observed absorption spectrum of hydrogen peroxide vapor⁷⁾. This band with the continuously increasing intensity from 375 $m\mu$ to at least 215 $m\mu$, may also be due to the transition, $(2p_{o_1} - 2p_{o_2}) \rightarrow (3s_{o_1} + 3s_{o_2})$ ⁸⁾.

The rotational barrier height (ΔE_r) of the two C-S bonds around the S-S bond in disulfides can be roughly estimated from the above data. As is easily known from the above discussion, the energy (E_1) corresponding to the transition $(3p_{s_1} - 3p_{s_2}) \rightarrow (4s_{s_1} + 4s_{s_2})$ and the total energy (E_2) of the four electrons of the two non-bonding orbitals $(3p_{s_1} \pm 3p_{s_2})$ are changeable with the angle θ . If we take OCD as the standard, the changes in these two quantities with θ can be represented by the following two formulae⁸⁾:

$$\Delta E_1 = -(\beta - E_s S) / (1 - S),$$

$\Delta E_2 = 2\{(\beta - E_s S) / (1 + S) - (\beta - E_s S) / (1 - S)\}$ where, β , E_s and S denote the resonance integrals, the energy of $3p_s$ orbital and the overlap integrals, respectively. For the derivation of the above formulae, it is assumed that θ is 90° for OCD. From these two formulae the following simple relation can be derived:

$$\Delta E_2 = \Delta E_1 \times 4S / (1 + S)$$

Under the assumption that the change in the total energy of non-bonding electrons (E_2) is the most important factor in determining ΔE_r and others such as the repulsive interaction between two alkyl groups and electrostatic interaction between C-S bonds can be neglected, ΔE_2 for $\theta = 0^\circ$ roughly equals to ΔE_r . If further reasonable assumption that ΔE_1 is proportional to S which is proportional to $\cos \theta$ is used, ΔE_r equals $(1.20 / \cos \theta_{\text{TMD}}) \times 0.544 / 1.136$ e.v.. Here, θ_{TMD} denotes θ in TMD. If θ_{TMD} is 40° , ΔE_r is 0.76 eV. This value is in good agreement with the one (0.74 eV.) obtained for dichlor disulfide by K. Hirota and T. Oka⁵⁾ from the wave number of the twisting vibration (106 cm^{-1}).

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Dr. S. Nagakura of the Institute of Science and Technology, Tokyo University, for his kind guidance.

Faculty of Agriculture,
Miyazaki University.
Miyazaki

*1) In the following, subscription X denotes X atom
*2) Subscription S_1 , S_2 denote the two sulfur atoms of disulfides.

4) H.L. Yakel and E. W. Hughes, *Acta Cryst.*, **7**, 291 (1954).

5) K. Hirota and T. Oka. Presented at the Symposium on Structural Chemistry, Nagoya (1956).

6) J. T. Massey and D. R. Bianco, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **22**, 442 (1954).

*3) The overlap integral values were obtained by Mulliken et al's table, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **17**, 1248 (1949), assuming the atomic distance to be 2.04 Å; D.P. Stevenson and J. Y. Beach, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **60**, 2872 (1938).

*4) S for $\theta = 0^\circ$ is 0.136.

7) H. C. Urey, L. H. Dawsey and F. O. Rice, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **51**, 1371 (1929).

8) C. A. Coulson, "Valence". Oxford (1952) p. 78.